XIII

1949

File No. 255/36

A CLASS

P.W. DEPARTMENT

E BRANCH (1)

Subject:— Travelling Allowance

Orders regarding revision of —

4 [K] 1949

XIII

File No. 255/36 — E.B. (1)

Std. Sectt. Slip 24-A

Std. Sectt. 78A e.

G R., G D., No 2408, dated 22-6-22.]

Correspondence

DOCKET SHEET (B)

Subject :—

File No. 255-/36 XIII

P. W. DEPT.

E BRANCH. (1)

References :—

Date.	To whom sent.	Notes and instructions.

Confidential.
Very Urgent.

Finance Department(C

Proposals for revision of travel ling Allowances.

Inf view of the further rise in the cost of touring since the last revision of rates of travelling allowance, this Deptt. has under consideration the following tentative suggestions for another revision of the various types of t.as. granted to Govt. servants in this province.

2. Mileage Allowance.

1. Govt. servants using their own conveyance,

	Existing Rate. As. per Ml.	Proposed Rate. As.per Ml.	Percentage Increase.
Govt. servant of the first Grade.			
Motor car.	8	10	25
Motorcycle.	3	4	33 1/3
Any other means of conveyance.	3	4	33 1/3
A Govt. servant of the Second Grade.			
Motor car	6	8	33 1/3
Motor Cycle	3	4	33 1/3
Any other means of conveyance.	3	4	33/1/3
A Govt. servant of the thirid Grade.			
Motor cycle	3	4	33 1/3
Any other means of conveyance.	3	4	33 1/3
A Govt. servant of the fourth Grade.	1½	2	33⅓1/3

II Govt. servants hiring a conveyance.

Grade of the Govt. servant.	Proposed Rate.
1st grade	Actual cost limited to As. per 10 mile
2nd grade	Actual cost limited to As.8 per mile.
3rd grade	Actual cost limited to As.4 per mile.
4th grade	Actual cost limited to As.2 per mile.

3. Permanent Travelling Allowance.

At present a temporary increase of 50 per cent is allowed on the basis p.t.as. of Rs.80 and below, and of 33 1/3 per cent on the baisc p.t.as.

of above Rs.80 p.m. subject to a minimum of Rs.120 p.m. in the latter cases. These percentages are tentatively proposed to be raised to 60 and 40 respectively with a provision that all p.t.as. of above Rs.80 p.m. be raised to a minimum of Rs.128 p.m.

In view of the exception (a) in para.2 of the G.R.F.D. No.1922/33 dated the 11/5/44 in the case of Range Forest Officers, Foresters and Forest Surveyors the total increase over the rates of p.t.as. in force before 1-6-43 will be limited to the above percentages.

These increase will not apply to additional p.t.as. sanctioned in B.C.S.R. 38½/B.

In the case of Supply and Price Control officers who have been given additional P.T.A. in the G.R.F.D.(S) No. 19(2) dated the 5/4/44, the increase suggested above may be given on the rates in force before 1/11/43. They may be held eligible for these increases in addition to the additional P.T.A. given in the F.D.(S) G.R. dated the 5/4/44.

4. Daily Allowance.

Suggested increases are as given in the following table.

Grade of the Govt. Servant.	Basic rate prescribed in B.C.S.R. 420.	Increased given in 1944 and subsequently.	Existing rate of total D.A.,	Increase now proposed.	Percentage increase over the existing rate (Approx)	Total increase over basic rate
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
(a) Government servant of the first grade.	6-0-0	2-8-0	8-8-0	1-8-0	18%	4-0-
(b) Government servant of the 2nd grade on Pay;						
(i) Above Rs.500	4-0-0	2-0-0	6-0-0	1-8-0	25%	3-8-
(ii) " Rs.300 ~~3-0-0~~ upto Rs.500	3-0-0	1-8-0	4-8-0	1-8-0	25%	2-10
(iii) Upto Rs.300	2-8-0	1-4-0	3-12-0	1-0-0	27%	2-4-

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
(c) Government Servants of the 3rd Grade on pay of-						
(i) Rs. 151 to Rs.199	1-12-0	1-0-0	2-12-0	0-12-0	27%	1-12-0
(ii) Rs. 101 to Rs.150	1-4-0	0-12-0	2-0-0	0-10-0	31%	1-6-0
(iii) Rs. 51 to Rs.100	0-12-0	0-8-0	1-4-0	0-8-0	40%	1-0-0
(iv) Rs. upto Rs. 50	0-8-0..	0-8-0	1-0-0	0-8-0	50%	1-0-0
(d) Govt. servant of the fourth grade.	0-4-0	0-8-0	0-12-0	0-8-0	66⅔%	1-0-0.

-66-

5. Conveyance allowance.

The C.As. were temporarily increased by 10% in 1943 and by af further 10% in 1945 - vide G.R.F. D. No;2091 dated the 12/5/43, and 17/3/45 In view of the increasees suggested in the case of P.T.As., the C.As. may be increased by a further 10% i.e. the total increase over basic C.As will be 30%.

Cycle Allowances and C.As. of Inspectors, D.Is. and S.Is. of Police will be ~~xxxx~~ excluded from the above proposal because the increase ~~xx~~ already given on these allowances exceeds 30%.

5. Classification of Govt. servants into grades for purposes of travelling allowance.

This question is being considered separatel and proposals in this behalf will be made in due course. In case the existing classification is modified, consequential adjustments in the daily allowance rates proposed above may become necessary.

Sd. I.H.V.

U.S. sd. D.H.D(amle), 21/10
Secy... sd. B.V(enkappiah), 22/10

No. 1922/33-C. Finance Department, Bombay Castle, 23rd October4

Copy forwarded to all Departments of the Sectretariat (except the Separate Department), with a request to convey their views on the abov proposals to this Department by 10th November '4

at the latest. It is not intended that the Secretariat Departments should in turn consult Heads of Departments under them, unless in regard to specific items on which the Departments feels such consultation will be specially useful.

Id/-

For Secretary to the Govt.
of Bombay.
Finance Department.

Below copies of Government ~~Rem~~ endorsement F.D.,No.1922/33-C dated the 2/3/48, and its accpt. reg. revision of travelling Allowances.

---------X---------

Confidential.
Immediate.

No. 255/36E(I). P.W.D.

B.C., 28th October '48.

Copies forwarded to:-

The S.E's of Circles,

The El. Engr. to Govt.

The P.H.E. to Govt.

for favour of their remarks. They are requested to submit their proposals in the matter with full justification in Sn report thereof. The proposals should be submitted in immediately so as to reach Government not later than the 5/11/48.

By order of the Govt.
of Bombay.

Sd/-

29/10/48.

Confidential.

No. C/214 of 1948.
Office of the Suptdg. Engr. W. Gujarat Circle.
Ahmedabad. 1st November, 1948.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
P. W. D. Bombay.

Subject:- The Proposals for revision of Travelling Allowances.

Ref: Govt. Endt. Confl. No:255/56-E-I, dated the 28/10/48, ~~proposed increasing Rates~~.

I have to offer my remarks as under on the proposed increase in P.T.As.

The basic P.T.A. above Rs.60/- P.M. is proposed to be raised tentatively by 40% with a proviso that all P.T.As. above Rs. 60/- be raised to a minimum of Rs. 120/- A P.T.A. is given in lieu of mileage and daily allowances. As the proposed increase in the latter two allowances is in the region of 100% over the basic it is urged in equity that the proposed increase in the P.T.As should be at least 80% over the basic with a provisco that all P.T.As above Rs.80/- be raised to a minimum of Rs. 140/-

2) We have to be thankful to Government for any relief that may be given in this respect. However when all is said and done the relief would not be to the limit of actual conditions prevailing. I have therefore to urge, as I have already suggested in my remarks on the remedies suggested by the Public Service Commission for attracting good recruits, that Government should provide for trans-port at their expense to touring officers. Government have at present the nucleus of a transport organisation and it should not be difficult to expand it so as to meet the needs of touring officers. As there are many touring officers in each District the transport could be so organised that a few con-

veyances could meet the needs of many. Such an organisation would be equitable to both Government and the touring Officers and perhaps may prove cheaper to Government ultimately.

N. C. Bulsara.
Superintending Engineer,
North Gujarat Circle.

B/1.11
Compared by

Letter.

Confidential. No.G.155/(a)10529
Public Works Department.
Bombay, 30th October, '48.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Bombay.

Subject:- Proposals for revision of travelling allowance.

Reference: Government Endorsement P.W.D. No. Confl. 255/E(I), dated the 28th October 1948.

2. The suggestions proposed by the Finance Department for revision of the various types of travelling allowances granted to Government servants seem suitable and I have no changes to propose.

13/-

Superintending Engineer,
DK.29/10/48. Bombay Circle.

Except in case of D.D.O's for whom I have expressed my views in response to Government endorsement P.W.D. No. 4867/56-E(I), dated the 27/9/48.

DK.

CONFIDENTIAL

No.C/9816 of 1948.
Poona 3rd, November '48.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject:- Revision of travelling allowances.

Reference :- Government endorsement No.255/36-E(I), dated the 29th October 1948.

2. The living index has arisen considerably. The cost of touring has also risen materially and it is very desirable to give relief to the touring officers. The increased ratee of travelling allowances proposed in the F.D. Note will be to some extent to meet these increased charges and I strongly recommend them.

s/d

Public Health Engineer,
to Government.

D.A.Nil:
SLP/3-11-48.

Confidential

No C/268 of 1948.
Poona,1. 3rd Nov. 1948.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject: Proposals for revision of travelling Allowances.

Reference: P-W.D. endorsement Confl. No.255/36-E(I) dated the 28/10/48.

The allowances suggested in para. 2 and 3 to 5 of the Finance Department will go some way to help Government servants to meet their out of pocket expenses on touring on Government duty and may be accepted.

As regards the P.T.A's in the case of those whose basic P.T.A's are above Rs.80/- the sugges

tions made by the Finance Department fall far short of affording relief, as it is these people who have to maintain cars and are hit very hard. As the proposals made by the Finance Department in respect of both mileage allowance and daily allowance (paragraph 2 and 4) are 66⅔% & upwards above the basic B.C.S.R. rate, and these officers would thus draw T.A. 66⅔% and upwards above the basic rate if they preferred their T.A., on bills, it is strongly urged that their P.T.A's as well may be increased to 66⅔% over the basic rate in the place of the 33⅓% over the basic rate existing at present. It is also likewise urged that the P.T.A's of those whose basic P.T.A's are Rs.80/-, and below may be also raised to the same level, i.e. 66⅔% above the basic B.C.S.R. rate.

Id/-
3/11
Superintending Engineer,
Central Circle.

kpt/3.11

9

Confidential Letter.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
Deccan Irrigation Circle,
Poona 1.

To

The Secretary to the Government of ,
Bombay,
Public Works Department.

No. C/D245 of 1948. Poona 1. 4th Nov. 194

Subject ; Propoàals for revision of
Travelling Allowances.

.....

Reference: Government Endorsement No.255
/36-E(I), dated 28/10/1948.

2. Since the last revision of rates of Travelling Allowances in 1943, the prices of petrol, tyres and other accessories have gone up by 20 to 35 per cent plus sale tax, now levied and hence the cost ofmaintaining a conveyance has considerably increased and it is therefore, necessary that the present rates of Daily allowances, Permanent Travelling Allowances and Conveyance Allowances should be also revised adequately.

3. The increase proposed by the Finance Department though not adequate will go a long way in meeting the out of pocket expenses at least to some extend required tobe incurred on touring.

S. S. W.

Superintending Engineer, D.I.C.

Id/-
4/4/48.

Confidential; No.G.C/3888 of1948.
P.W.D. Offices, Ghurchgate, St. Fort, Bombay, 6th Nov. '48.

To

The Secretary toGovernment,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Ref: Proposals for revision of travelling allowances.

Ref: Govt. P.W.D. endorsement No. 255/36-E(I) dated the 28/10/48.

The rates proposed, are, in my opinion, adequate for the time.

S/d .

Electrical Engineer to Govt.

Letter.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
Southern Circle.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
B O M B A Y.

CONFIDENTIAL No.G.R.111

Public Works Deptt.
Belgaum, 4th November '48.

Subject:- Increase in the rates of P.T.A., mileage, conveyance and Daily Allowances.

Reference:- Government Endorsement(P.W.D.) No.255/36-E(I), dated the 28th October, 1948.

My proposals on the subject are as under:-

(1) Mileage allowance.

Though inadequate, any small increase is welcome, for the price of cars, is anything near 2½ to 3 times that of1939, and son also of tyres, tubes, and spare parts. Price of petrol is as high as in War time. Whatever the Finance Department have now proposed may please be agreed to and sanction obtained very early.

(2) Permanent Travelling Allowances:-

(2) Permanent Travelling Allowances

The Deputy Engineers in P.W.D. get a P.T.A. of Rs.112-8-0 p.m. and will get as per Finance Department proposals the minimum of Rs.128/- p.m. Whatever P.T.A. the Government may give, the price of anyxx reliable second hand car being not less than 4 to 6 thousand rupees, most Sub-Divisional Officers are now without car. Also due to rapid promotions most of them are drawing pays varying from Rs.200/- to 300/- and it will be evident how impossible it is for them 20 times their monthly pay to purchase a reliable fast moving conveyance. The efficiency of the Departments depends on that Deputy Engineers who form efficient the bulk and that they need to possess a car, for the efficient discharge of duty is an established fact. The Railways give their Engineers fully equpped carriages to go on line and not leave them to travel with reserved accommodation on the trains. The xx Military and the Police have motor cars for the staff xxxxx officers to use. In the Madras Irrigation Department where canals are navigable, Government provide staff Boats to facilitate touring am inspection of canals. All Engineering Firms give their resident Engineers who stay on works, the necessary conveyance. This has been the case both before, and after the War. Instead of P.T.As the Deputy Engineer should have at least one jeep and one static wagon in each division for proper inspection of works and be paid Daily Allowances only as per rules.

(3) Daily Allowances:-

	Finance Deptt. Proposals.	Recommendations ~~of Supdg:Engr. S.C.~~
3rd Grade Govt. servants. Category III ...	1/12/-	2/-
IV ...	1/8/-	1/12/-
4th Grade ...	1/4/-	1/8/-

With the removal of control on food-grains the cost of a meal has gone very high and therefore higher Daily Allowances are recommended, in cases of the lowest grades of Government servants.

S/d
4/11/48.

Sdk. Superintending Engineer, S.C.

Very Urgent
Confidential
No1 G.-114.

Office of the Superintending Engineer,
Special Investigaion Circle,
Dharwar, 3rd, November '48.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
BOMBAY.

Subject: Proposals for revision of travelling, allowance.

Reference: Government, P.W.D. endorsement Confidential No.255/36-E(I), dated 28th October, '48.

2. I agree with the proposals regarding percentage increase in the rates of mileage, P.T.A. and Daily allowance, as made by the Finance Department, as communicated under its endorsement No.1922/33-C dated the 23rd, October, 1948, for the reasons stated therein.

Id/-
Superintending Engineer,
Special Investigation Circle.

Very Urgent.
Confidential.

No.C/PA-17 of 1948.
P.W.D. Eastern Circle offic
Sholapur, 8th November, '48.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject:- Proposals for revision of Travelling Allowances.

Reference:- Government Public Works Department endorsement No.255/36-E(I) dated 28th October 1948, on Finanace Department's No.1922/33-C dated 23rd October, 1948, on the above noted subject.

2. Since the last revision of rates of Travelling Allowance, there has been an appreciable increase in the cost of touring. The cost of Motor Cars have gone up 4 to 5 times above the old prices. The petrol rates have also nearly doubled. On account of an all round increase in the cost of living, the pays of drivers have increased. A hired conveyance is not easily accessible when required in these days and even if one can be had, the charges demanded per mile are much higher than what a -- Government servant gets by way of Travelling Allowance per mile.

3. In view of the prevailing conditions mentioned as above, the percentage increase in the mileage, daily allowance, Permanent Travelling allowance and Conveyance allowance as proposed by the Finance Department would be throughly justified.

Id/-

Superintending Engineer,
Eastern Circle.

Id/-
9/4

E.B.(I). 255/36/61998

P. W. D.

Submitted with reference to Govt. ednorsement No.255/36-E(I), dated the 28/10/48, and Govt. Express letter No. 255/36-E(I) dated the 6/11/48.

2. The replies from all the local officers except the S.E., S.G.C., have been received. Receipt of a reply from the S.E., S.G.C., may not be awaited.

3. The proposals under consideration in these papers are regarding the revision of travelling allowances, viz., (i) the mileage allowance, (ii) the daily allowance, (iii) the P.T.A. and (iv) the Conveyance allowance. Please see the increase proposed by the F.D. in the basic and the existing rates of these allowances on page 1.5 ante.

(a) Mileage allowance. The local officers have not proposed any increase in the revised rates of mileage allowance proposed by the F.D. The increases proposed by the F.D, in this allowance may be accepted.

(b) Daily allowances. Only the S.E. S.C., has recommended increase in the rates of daily allowance proposed by the F.D. in the case of 3rd and 4th grade Govt. servants. The S.E. has recommended the increases inview of the very high cost of meals prevailing at present. The increases recommended by the S. E. are as shown in the abjoined statement.

	Basic rate prescribed in the B.C.S.Rs	Existing rate.	Increased rate proposed by the F.D.	Rate recommended by the S.E.
3rd grade Govt. servants.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs
Category (iii) on pay of Rs.51 to Rs. 100 P.M.	0-12-0	1-4-0	1-12-0	2-0-0
Category (iv) on pay of up to Rs. 50 P.M.	0-8-0	1-0-0	1-8-0	1-12-0
4th grade Govt. servants.	0-4-0	0-12-0	1-4-0	1-8-0

The increased rates suggested by the S.E. may be accepted and recommended to the F.D.

(c) Permanent travelling allowance

For the purpose ofincreases in the different rates of P.T.As. are divided into two groups, viz., (i) the basic P.T.As. of Rs.80 per month, and below, and (ii) the basic P.T.As. above Rs.80 per month only the S.E., C.C., and the S.E., B.C., have suggested increases in the revised rates of P.T.As. proposed by the F.D. The S.E., C.C., observes that in the case of those whose basic P.T.As. are above Rs. 80 per month the increases proposed by the Finance Department fall far short of affording relief asit is these people who have to maintain cars an are hit very hard. On the basis of increases in the basic rates of mileage and daily allowances proposed by the F.D. which are 66-2/3% and above, the S.E., C.C., recommends that the basic P.T.As. of all the officers may be raised by 66-2/3%

The S.E., B.C., has suggested that the S.D.Os. may be granted a P.T.A. of Rs.175/- p.m. as gainst their basic P.T.A. of Rs.75 p.m. and existing P.T.A. of Rs. 112½ p.m.,i.e., an increase of 133/1/3 p.c. in the basic P.T.A. It may be stated that on a seperate case (File No.255/36/54658) the Dy. Secy. has stated that the prices of cars have gone up by at least 200% and the cost of up-keep has risen by 100% since pre-war day. He has, therefore, suggested that it is quite necessary to raise the P.T.A. of the S.D. Os. (By. Engrs.) to about Rs.175 to 200 p.m. in the case of those who maintain a motor car. The D.S. has also suggested a corresponding increase in the P.T.As. of the Ex.Engrs. and the S.Es. the Secy. (I) has recommended that the P.T.A. of the S.D.Os. may be fixed at Rs.150 p.m. subject to the condition that a four seater motor car is maintained in working order. The Secy. (R. & B.) has agreed to the proposal. In the circumstances it is for consideration whether this Deptt. may recommend that the basic rates of P.T.A; of Rs.80 p.m. and below may be raised by 100% provided a motor car is maintained and used for Govt; Work; otherwise by 50% and those above Rs.80 p.m. by 66-2/3% If this is approved our officers will get P.T.A. as shown in col. 5 of the subjoined statements.

	Pre-revision rate p.m.	Existing revised rate p.m.	Increased rate proposed by the F.D. p.m.	Increased rate proposed by the P.W.D. p.m.
The S.Es. of Circles.	Rs. 190	Rs. 253	Rs. 266	Rs. 316/11/0 or say Rs. 320 in round figure.
The Ex. Engrs. of Divisions.	150	200	210	250
The S.D.Os. (Asstt. or By. Engrs.)	75	~~122½~~ 112½	120	(150 provided a motor car is maintained & used for Govt. Works. 112½ & 75 otherwise.

(d) Conveyance allowance:- No local officer except the S.E., B.C., has proposed any increase in the proposed rates of C.A. The S.E., B.C., has suggested that the S.D.Os. who maintain a motor car must be given a conveyance allowance of Rs.150 p.m. at the minimum. There is no uniform rate of C.A. prescribed for S.D.Os. The C.A. is fixed on the basis of the mileage required to be maintained by the officers concerned. A fixed rate of C.A. cannot, therefore be recommended for all S.D.Os. who are eligible for conveyance allowance. The increases proposed in the case of P.T. may, therefore, be recommended in this case also.

The S.E., B.C., and the S.E., Sec have observed that it is necessary for the S.D.Os. to maintain motor cars for

the efficient performance of their duties but due to very high increases in the costs of motor cars and due to certain other factors, it is very difficult or rather impossible for the S.D.Os. to purchase cars. They have accordingly recommended the purchase and maintenance of motor cars (jeeps) by Govt. for the use of S. D.Os., grant of advances equal to the cost of motor cars to the S.D.Os. etc. As, however, these suggestions are not quite relevant to the points at issue, they may not be considered.

4. On receipt of orders on the above proposals a copy of this note will be forwarded to the F.D.

Thro' E.B.(II)

Id/-
10/11/48-

DRN
10/11/48.

As in margin above.

Id/-
11/11

As control over foodgrains is being reestablished, the main requirements of S.E.,S.O., that became of Decontrol pries of foodgrains have sound up,will no longer be valid so we may agree to the rates proposed by F.D. for 3rd and 4th grade officers.

2. As regards rates of P;T.A., I feel that the S.D.Os. are the people who are hard hit. Then P.T.A. should be fixed at Rs.150 p.m. on the definite condition, should they maintain a four seater car in the regular working, under

and use it on works. As regards Executive Engineers the scale may be Rs. 225 and for S.E.S. Rs.275.

3. There is one more aspect which the F.D. may be asked to consider. From 1-1-49, the railways will have no first class but only an upper class and T.A. allowable on railway/ powers should be 1¼ upper class fares. This was in force, but, was withdrawn when the railway fares were increased but, now that the railway fares have been lowered, the 1½ fare should be restircted.

Id/-
12/11/48.

255/36/61998

Below copies of the Public Works Department office note dated the 10th November 1948, regarding the revision of the travelling allowances, and the notes of the Dy. Secy and Secy. following it;

----------x----------

B. C., 15th November '48.

P W. D.

Copies forwarded ex to the F.D., with reference to that Department endt. No.19 22/33-C, dated the 23rd October, 1948.

By the order etc.,

Id/-
15/11/

Id/-
15/11/48.

D.S.

Condidential

No.C/1 of 1948.
Office of the Superintending Engineer,
South Gujarat Circle
Surat, 10th Nov. 1948.

To

The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject:- Revision ofT.A. - Proposal for

Reference:- Govt. Express Letter No. 255/36-E(I) dated the 6/11/48.

The above letter was received by me on 9th November 1948, on my return from Bombay. The F.+D. wanted the views of the various departments before 10th November 1948, I do not, therefore, think that my report on the matter is of any use now.

I would ~~x~~ however, observe that while the proposed increases made in Para, 2, 4 and 5 of the F.D.'s Note will give some relief to Govt. servants, that proposed in para 3 especially the proposed increase for P.T.A. above Rs.80/- viz., 40% above the basic P.T-A. is too little to give any real relief to the officers concerned.

I would take the case of an Executive Engineer of the Department. His basic P.T.A. was 150/- per month, increased by 33⅓%, Rs.200 per month and now proposed to be increased by 40% and it comes to Rs.210/- per month. His monthly running expenses is are :-

(i) Driver's ~~x~~ pay. Rs.80/-

(ii) Petrol & Oils. Rs.100/-

(~~x~~ii) Minor repairs & serving. Rs. 15/-

(iv) Renewals of parts tyres & tubes @ Rs.300/- a year. Rs. 25/-

(v) Insurance @ Rs. 200 a year. average.	Rs.17/-
(vi) Depreciation of (any American Car suitable for District Travelling costs Rs. 10000/-) the car at not less than Rs.1000/- a year.	Rs.83/-
	Rs.320/-

Note:- Expenses on journeys by rail and by other modes of conveyances and such expenses are not small in th case of Irrigation Officers and officers stationed at places not well served by roads, are not included in the above details.

In view of the above details, I suggest for Government's consideration, the following P.T.A. for various officers of the Department.

(1) Superintending Engineer...Rs.300/- p.m
(2) Executive Engineer ...Rs.250/- "
(3) Dy. Engineers in charge of Sub-divisions. ...Rs.150/- "

Id/-
11/11/48.

Superintending Engineer,
South Gujarat Circle.

EB.(I) 255/36/62420
P. W. D.

These papers relate to the revision of travelling allowances. viz., daily allowance., mileage allowance, P.T.A. and conveyance allowances. Please see this department endt. no. 255/36-E(I) dated the 15/11/1948, and the notes underlying it. The Papers may be refd. n.o. to the F.D. with a request to state whether any orders

state whether a y orders in the matter have been issued by that Deptt. and, if so, to forward a copy thereof to this Deptt.

Id/-
23/5/49.

Confdl.

u.o.r. to the F.D. dated the 24th May, 1949.

Id/-
24/5/49.

Finance Deptt.

Draft orders re: to the revision of travelling allowances are under submission and are expected to be issued shortly. P.W.D. may be informed accordingly.

Id/-
24-5-49.

u.o. to the P.W.D. dated 25/5/49.

E.B.(I) P.W.D.

Submitted with reference to the office note overleaf.

Await.

Id/-
27/5/49.

GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

Finance Department.

Resolution No.1922/33.

Bombay Castle, 14th June '49.

Orders to remain in force till 31st March, '51, vide G.R.F.D.No.6384/33, dtd. the 10/3/50 (2866/36/29895)

Read entries at serial Nos.1 and 12 in Government Resolution, Finance Department No.6384/33, dated the 2nd April, '49.

RESOLUTION : Having regard to the increased cost of touring, the Government of Bombay is pleased to issue the following orders in supersession of the orders issued in Government Resolutions, Finance Department, No.1922/33, dated the 11th May '44 and 17th July '44.

2. Permanent Travelling Allowance

Subject to such exceptions as may be specified in individual orders and to those mentioned below, all permanent travelling allowances sanctioned before the 1st May 1949, should be increased as follow The increases are sanctioned on the basic rates :-

(i) Each permanent travelling allowance of Rs. 80 per mensem and below should be increased by 75 percent.

(ii) Each permanent travelling allowance of above Rs.80 permensem, should be increased by 50 per cent, the permanent travelling allowance so increased being subject to a minimum of Rs. per mensem

Exceptions -(a) In the case of Range Forest Officers, Foresters and Forest Surveyors, who have been allowed an increase in permanent travelling allowance with

effect from 1st June 1943, the total increase over the rates in force before 1st June 1943, should be limited to the percentages of mentioned above.

(b) The increases sanctioned in this Resolution do not apply to the additional permanent travelling allowances given to certain officers under Bombay Civil Services Rule 383-B.

Note - In the case of those Revenue Officers who are eligible for an additional permanent travelling allowance under Government Resolution, Revenue Department, No.9413/39, dated 16th November 1948, the increases sanctioned in this Resolution should be calculated on the allowances exclusive of this additional permanent travelling allowance admissible under Government Resolution, Revenue Department, No. 9443/39, dated the 16th November 1948.

3. Mileage Allowance for journeys by road.

In temporary supersession of the rates prescribed in rule 414 of the Bombay Civil Services Rules, mileage allowance for journeys by road should be granted at the following rates:-

(a) I.Government servants using their own conveyances.

A Government servant of the 1st Grade.

Motor car	...	...	9 annas p.m.
Motor cycle	...	...	3½ " "
Any other means of Conveyance	...	...	3½ " "

A Government servant of the 2nd Grade.

Motor car	...	...	7 annas p.m.
Motor cycle	...	...	3½ " "

Any other means of Conveyance	... ...	3½As.p.m.
servant A Government/of 3rd Grade.		
Motor cycle ...	...	3½annas p.m.
Any other means of Conveyance...	...	3½ " "
A Government servant of 4th grade.		2 " "

II Government servant hiring a conveyance.

A Government servant of the 1st grade.	Actual cost of hiring a conveyance in whole or in part subject to a limit of 9 annas per ml.
A Government servant of the 2nd grade.	Actual cost of hiring a conveyance in whole or in part subject to a limit of7 annas per mile.
A Government servant of the 3rd grade.	Actual cost of hiring not more than 2 seats in a conveyance subject to a limit of 3½ annas per mile.
A Government servant of the 4th grade.	Actual cost of hiring not more than one seat in a conveyance subject to a limit of 2 annas per mile.

(b) The rate of mileage allowance prescribed in the "Exception" in clauses I & II of Bombay Civil Services Rule 414, should be increased from 6 annas to 9 annas and that mentioned in the note below clause I of that

rule increased from 4 annas to 7 annas.

4. Daily Allowance.- The orders issued in Government Resolution, Finance Department, No.1922/33 dated, the 13th December 1943, should be treated as cancelled and the basic rates of daily allowance should be increased as shown below:-

Class of Government.	Increase over basic rate of daily allowance. Rs.	a.	p.
(1) Government servants of the 1st grade.	4	0	0
(2) Government servants of the 2nd grade on pay.			
(i) Above Rs.500	3	8	0
(ii) Above Rs.300 upto 500 ...	2	10	0
(iii) Up to Rs.300	2	4	0
(3) Government servants of the 3rd grade on pay.			
(i) Rs.151 to Rs.199	1	12	0
(ii) Rs.101 to Rs.150	1	6	0
(iii) Rs. 51 to Rs.100	1,	0	0
(iv) Up to Rs.50	1	0	0
(4) Government servants of the 4th grade.	1	0	0

The increases mentioned above are admissable in respect of all daily allowances whether ordinary or special and in the latter case, whether sanctioned for certain officers or on account of the expensiveness of certain localities. Where the special rate of daily allowence is expressed as a multiple of the ordinary rate, or as the ordinary rate plus a certain percentage of that rate, the special rate should continue to be calculated on the ordinary rate as it stood before the issue either of these orders, or of Govt.

Resolution, Finance Department, No.1922/33, dated the 13th December, 1943, as the case may be and, then increased as shown above.

5. Conveyance Allowance - The 10 per cent increase sanctioned in Government Resolution, Finance Department, No.2091, dated the 12th May, 1943, and raised to 20 per cent in Government Resolution of the same No. dated 17th March 1945, should be raised to 30 per cent. This increase of 30 per cent, should be calculated on the basic rate as directed in paragraph 2 of Government Resolution, Finance Department, No.2091, dated the 17th March, 1945.

6. These orders should take effect from the 1st May, 1949. They will remain in force up to 31st March, 1950 in the first instance.

By the order of the Governor of Bombay,

K. P. MATHRANI,
Secretary to the Government.

G.R., No.1922/33, F.D., dated the 14th June '49.

To

The Accountant General, Bombay,

The Secretary to the Governor,

*The Register of His Majesty's High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Bombay,

*The Prothonotary and Senior Master, His Majesty's High Court, Bombay,

All Heads of offices under the several Department of the Secretariat.

All Departments of the Secretariat.

*By letter.

No. of 1949.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to——

No. G-1556555 PubliceWorks Deptt.
Bombay, 17th July,'48.

From

M.L. Champhekar, Esquire, M.C.E., I.S.E.,
Superintending Engineer, Bombay Circle.

To

The Chief Secretary to Governmet,
Political and Services Department,
BOMBAY.

Subject:- Journeys for purposes of Rest and Recreation.

-----X-----

Sir,

As Superintending Engineer in Sind Public Works Department, I was granted leave for six months from 1st May, 1947 to 31st October 1947 for purposes of rest and recreation ~~xxxx

I preferred my T.A. claims and forearded my journal to the Accountant General, Pakistan Revenues, Karachi through the Accountant General, Bombay for getting it audited and passing the same for payment. The Accountant General , Pakistan Revenues, Karachi, has returned the bill unpassed on the ground that I am not entitled to the concession on the analogy of the orders issued in paragarph 2 of the Government of India (Home Department) Office Memorandum No.193/44-Public(C), dated the 15th March, 1946, as I did not resume my duties on the expiry of my leave under the same Government and has therefore, asking me to refund the amount of advance of T.A. drawn by me - vide the Accountant General, Bombay's letter No.G.II-I.S.E.-1100, dated the 31st May, 1948 and accompaniment. Copies attached.

3. Normally I would have resumed my duties under the Sind Government on the expiry of my leave and would have continued to do so, but due to the political changes in the country, as a result of which Sind Province came under the Pakistan Government I was appointed in the Bombay Province. Through no fault of mine I have been made to suffer in this respect, and would therefore, request you to please to move the Government of India to allow me to draw the concession referred to above as a special case.

4. In the meanwhile, I am refunding the amount of Rs.548-8-0, drawn as advance of the T.A.

Your Obedient servant,
Sd/- M.L. Champhekar.
Superintending Engineer, B.C.

D.A.-1 Copy ofA.G.'s lette of
31-5-48 and accompaniment.

Through

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
B O M B A Y.

255/36/38816

Below letter from Mr. M.L.Champhekar, M.C.E., I.S.E., S.E., B.C., No. G/55/6555 dated the 17/7/48, regarding [illegible] on journeys for pupposes of rest and recreation and its accepts.

No.255/36/E(I) P.W.D.
B,C. 4th August.'48.

With compliments to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, P.W.D. for favourable consideration.

By order of the Government of [illegible]

Id/-
3/8/48.

No. G-11 ISE/1100. Bombay, 31st,May,'48.

From

The Accountant General, Bombay.

To

M.L, Champhekar, Esquire, I.S.E.;
Superintending Engineer, Bombay Cricle.

Subject: T.A. Bill for Rs.548/8/0

Sir,

In continuation of this office endorsement No.GII-ISE-4860 dated the 15/3/48 I have the honour to state that the bill mentioned above has been returned unpassed by the Accountant General, Pakistan Revenues under his letter No.GAI-25-20-277 dated 3/5/48 (Copy enclosed).

It is, therefore, requested that the

amount of Rs.548-8-0 may please be deducted from your leave salary bill which has been authorised under this office No.G.11ISE-239, dated 19/4/48.

The T-A. bill in question has been returned herewith.

Your letter No.G/105/4521, dated the 20/5/48 has been forwarded to the A.G., P.R. for necessary action.

Your obedient servant,
Sd/-
Deputy Accountant General,

Copy of letter referred to above.

Subject:- T.A. bill of Mr. M.L. Champheke I.S.E.,

Reference:- Your letter No. G.II I8E/48 59, dated 15/3/48.

On the analogy of the orders contained in the para2 of the late Government of India (Home Department) Office Memorandum No.193/44-Public(C) dated the 15/3/46, and in accordance with the decission of Sind Government taken in the case of Mr. L.G.Rajwala, I.C.S.

Mr. M.L. Champhekar is not entitled to the travelling allowance concession as he did not resume duties under the Sind Government on the expiry of leave. His T.A.bill received with your letter under reference is, therefor returned unpassed. The amount of advance of Rs. 548-8-0, taken by him for rest and recreation may please be recovered and credit there of passed on to this office in due course.

Three/True copies.

L.N.Vaidya.

For Head Clerk.

No.G-155/6555 Public Works Department,
Bombay, 17th July '48.

From

M.L. Champhekar, Esqure, M.C.E., I.C.E.,
Superintending and ServicesDeptt.,
B O M BA Y.

Subject:- Hourneys for purposes of
Rest and Recreation.

---x---

Sir,

As Superintending Engineer in Sind Public Works Department, I was granted leave for six months from 1st May, 1947 to 31st October 1947, for purposes

Below letter from Mr. M.L. Champhekar, M.C.E., I.S.E., Superintending Engineer, Bombay Circle, No.G.155/6555, dated the 17th July 1948, regarding travelling allowance on journeys for purposes of rest and recreation and its accompaniments.

No.255/36-E(I). Public Works Department
Bombay Castle, 4th Aug. 48

Passed on, with compliments, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Political and Services Department, for favourable consideration.

By order of the Governor of Bombay,

D. N. Daruwalla,

For Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

MAB/4/8/48.

Id/-
5/8

A similar representatives from Mr. Champhekar, received through P.W.D. has been returned to that Department since it is for the administration Department concerned to take the necessary action on it. This representation from Mr. Champhekar may also be returned to the P.W.D. likewise.

Re. Refund of Compensation allowance drawn by him for leave period while in Simla.

Id/-
18/8/48.

Id/-
19/8/48.

u.o.r. to P.W.D. dated the 21/8/48.

E.B.(I) 255/36/46205
P.W.D.

The Government of India Memorandum refd. to in para2 of the S.E., B.Cs letter on page 13 ante., has not been received in the Deptt. It is informally ascertained that the memo

~~xxx~~ in the question has ~~beenx~~ received in the F.D. that Deptt. may be requested u.o. to furnish a copy of the same to this Deptt.

Through F.B.

Id/-
23/9/48.

Urgent.

U.O.R. to the F.D. dated the 24/9/48.

Finance Deptt.(C)

~~xRxSxxxxxxx~~ Presumably the P.WD. wants a copy of ~~Rxxxi~~ Govt. of India Office Memorandum N.O. 196/43. Public (C) dated the 15/3/46 note No. 193/44. Public (C). A typed copy of Office Memo No. 196/43-Public (C) dated 15/3/46. may be forwarded u.o. to that Deptt.

Id/-
25/9/48. The P.W.D. may also be informed that an exactly similar case - that of ~~xxxMr.~~ Mr. Majwade, I.C.S., is under consideration in the RD

Id/-
27/9/48.

u.o.r. to the P.W.D, dated the 29/9/48.

No.196/43-Public (C).
Government of India,
Home Department.

New Delhi, the 15th March, 1946

Office-Memorandum.

Subject:- Travelling Allowance concession to Central Govt. Servants.

.....

The undersigned is directed to say that it has been decided that-

(1) In the case of Central Government Servants who are entitled to travelling allowance concessions while travelling on leave, in the shape of Form D, leave concession vouchers or i any other form, the concession admissible under the Home Department's orders regarding travelling allowance for rest and recreation will be limited to the difference between the monetary limit of the class for which the officer is eli ible under the travelling allowance rules gover ning his case in other respects, and the value of the concession actually enjoyed in the shape of Form D. leave concession vouchers etc. This supersedes the orders in Office Memorandum No. 196/43-Public (C) dated the 26th February, 1945.

(2) The travelling allowance concessions will be not admissible to Central Government servants who will be discharged on the expiry of leave or who will revert to posts under other Governments on the expiry of leave. The concession will not be admissible to Government servants who are proceeding on leave preparatory to retirement.

(3) Under the orders in Home Department Office Memorandum No.196/43-Public (C) dated the 28th October 1945 the travelling allowance concessions will be continued only up to the

31 st August 1946. It has been decided that the concessions should be granted to those Government servants, whose leave commences before 31st August, 1946.

Sd/- (Syed Ahmed Ali)

Deputy Secretary tothe Govt.
Of India.

To

All HR Department of the Govt. of India,
The Secretaries to the Governor-General,
Additional Financial Adviser (Supply,Finance),
Joint Financial Adviser Supply, Finance (Supply);
Military Secretary to His Excellency the Viceroy;
Ministry of Supply Mission,

E.B.(1) 255/36/54112

P. W. D.

Submitted with reference to the notes on page 17 ante.

2. Please see the S.E. B.C's letter on pages 13-14 ante, which is self-explanatory. In view of the orders contained in para2 of the Government of India, H.P., letter No.196/43-Public(C) dated the 15/3/46, the S.E. B.C's request does not seem to be admissible. Before however, proceeding with this case further the R.D. may be requested u.o. to state the decision reached on the similar case of Mr. L,G. Rajwade, I.C.S., and to forward to this Deptt. the papers underlying the decission.

Id/-
14/10/48.

Very Urgent.

U.O.R. to the R.D. dated the 16/10/48.

Id/-
15/10/48.

Revenue Department.

The decision on Mr. Rajwade's case has since been reached and the Sind Government has been requested to withdraw their claim for the refund of the t.a. sanctioned in favour of Mr. Rajwade (vide A.1. No.10136/48-E, dated the 23/10/48) R.D. file No.10136/45 containing proceeding underlying the E.I may be forwarded to the P.W.D. with a request to return the file after keeping copies of relevant proceedings

The R.D. file is being returned to that Department separately.

Id/-
9/12/48.

Id/-
27/10/48.

*u.o.r.to P.W.D. dated the 28/10/48.

* under with R.D. file No.10136/45EB(

The R.D.'s file is being returned to that Deptt. separately

Id 9/12.

No. T.A.Advance
Nasik, 14th July'48

To

The Secretary to Government of Bombay,
Revenue Department,
Bombay.

Subject:. Advance of T.A. for rest and recreation.

I enclose herewith copy of letter No.G. 1.6/735 dated 19th May 1948 received by me from the Accountant General, Bombay, asking me to refund a sum of Rs.600/- into the Nasik Treasury. I wish to protest emphatically against such action and submit herewith a brief resume of the case.

The Government ofBombay in their Finance Department Resolution No.5971/33 dated the 27th June 1944, have sanctioned travelling allowance to a married officer of the 1st

grade who avails himself of the concession for his wife and himself. This concession* was admissible upto 31st December 1947, vide G;R., F.D.No.3971/33 dated the 19/11/1947. A similar concession was granted to officers by the Sind Government but the monetary limit there was Rs.600/- (Instead of Rs. 300/- admissible in this province). This was for the reason that the nearest hill station to Sind was either Quetta or Kashmir. In accordance with the above, I took an advance of Rs.600/- from Sukkur Treasury and Proceeded on six weeks' leave form rest and recreation in May, 1947. Both of us actually spent the leave in Kodaikanal (South India) which is at a distance of about 2000 miles from Sukkur where I was serving as District Magistrate at the time of taking leave. The amount of advance was fully spend long before I could return to Sind.

3. On the expiry of my leave, my services were replaced at the disposal of the Govt. of Bombay (vide Government political and Services Department Notification No.S-20/2-1 dated the 28/7/47), and I could not rejoin my former post at Sukkur. I had every intentions of joining my duties there when, as Government were aware, there was some correspondence about my release between the External Affairs Department Government of India and the Chief Secretary to Government, Bombay. Had I been serving in Bombay at the time of asking for a short leave, a similar advance would have been admissible to me in this Province.

4. Under the circumtances stated above, I submit that the matter is entirely one for set-

tlement between the Government of Bombay and Sind and I see no reason why I should be penalized. The advance was taken under a scheme sponsered by the Government under whom I was serving. I therefore, request that the Sind Government may either be moved to waive the recovery or the Government of Bombay, under whom my services were transferred be moved to regularize the advance which I have taken prior to proceeding on leave. The Accountant General Bombay may be asked to adjust the same by credit. Copies of letters of the Accountant General A.G. Pakistan Revenue and the Government of Sind are enlcosed for persual.

Sd/-(L.G.Rajwade).
District Magistrate, Nasik.

Encl:-

No.G.I.6/733. Bombay, 19th May4

From
The Accountant General, Bombay.

To
The L.G.Rajwade, Esq., I.C.S.,
Collector of Nasik.

Subject:. Admissibilitiym of T.A. concession while travelling on leave for rest & recreatio

Sir,

In continuation of this office endorsement No.GI.6/5242 dated the 7/2/48 I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the A.G; Pakistan Revenue's letter No.GA.II II.22/59/67 dated 12/4/48 and a copy of the Government of Sind, Political, Services and General Admn. Services Branch letter No.S-15/4-P8/47 dated 30/3/48 and to request you to refund a sum of Rs.600/- into the Nasik

Treasury. The credit may be classified under the following head of account,"66" P Deposits and Advances, Part III Advances not bearing interest Account with the Government of Pakistan Government of Sind."

Yours obedient servant,

Sd/-

Dy. Accountant General.

Copy of letter referred to above:-

Subject:- Mr. L.G.Rajwade I.C.S. Admissibility of T.A. concession while travelling on leave for rest and recreation.

In continuation of this office endorsement No.G.A.II.22/59/418 dated the 26/2/48 on the above subject., I have the honour to enclose herewith a copy of Sind Govt. (Political, Services and General Admn. Services Branch) letter No.S.15/4-Ps 47 dated 30/3/48 in which it has been decided that as a consequence of his transfer to Bombay on the expiry of leave, Mr.L.G. Rajwade is not entitled to the T.A. concession. It is, therefore, requested that the advance of Rs.600/- should be recovered from Mr. L.G. Rajwade and credit afforded to this office.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter.

Copy of letter referred to above on the reverse:-

Subject:- Mr. L.G.Rajwade, Admissibility of T.A. concession while travelling on leave for rest and recreation.

Reference your letter No.GA.II.22-59/

418 dated the 26th February 1948 on the subject noted above.

2. Mr. Rajwade was transferred to Bombay on expiry of the leave and as such no travelling allowance concession should be allowed to him. The Accountant General Bombay, may be requested to adjust the amount of T.A. advance already drawn by Mr. Rajwade.

R.D. & P2.

Please see Mr. Rajwade's letter and accompts. The question for consideration is whether this Government should agree to refund the advance of Rs.600/- granted to Mr. Rajwade as advance of t.a. simply because he joined this Government on the expiry of the leave. As Mr. Rajwade was not aware of the appointment to which he would be required to join after expiry of the leave and as he went on leave in good faith, he cannot be penalized in this case.

2. Mr. Rajwade was on the Joint Bombay-Sind cadre when he took leave as well aswhen he joined duty as Collr. of E.K. on the expiry of the leave. Hence the arangement reg. his transfer to this Govt. was agreed to when the Joint cadre was still in operation. If, so the Sind Government can rightly be expected to bear the liabilities incidental to the operation of the combined cadre. Presumably, the Sind Goverentment had agreed to the transfer of Mr. Rajwade to this Government and if so, that Government did so after being fully aware ofthe fact that Mr. R. had taken the advance and was to join t his Government . Hence that Government cannot claim the refund of the t.a. advance either from Mr. Rajwade o

or from this Government. The Sind Govt. may be told accordingly. P. & S.D. may state whether the transfer of Mr. R. to this Government was agreed to by the Sind Government and if so, whether the proposal to inform Sind Government as stated above will be in order.

Id/-
13/8/48.

F.D. may also see before these papers go to P. & S.D.

Id/-
13/8/48
14

Id/- V.N.S.
16/8/48

Secy.

u.o.r. to F.D./P. & S.D. dated the 16th August, 1948.

Finance Department.

The views expressed by the R.D; appear to be correct. The concession of t.a. for leave taken for rest and recreation is not covered by any rules but was only a war-time concession. There was therefore, no "agreement" between this Government and the Governmnt of Sind regarding who should bear t.a. in the case of officers belonging to joint cadres, who on the expiry of leave taken for rest and recreation, were posted to the other Province. On grounds of equity, however, the Government which sanctioned leave should obviously bear t.a. resulting from the grant of leave. It is not known whether the orders issued by Sind Government provided that t.a. was not admissibli of to officers who are posted outside Sind on the expiry of leave. The A.G. may be requested to state whether he is aware of any orders. The A.G. may also

state whether he has noticed cases of officers belonging to joint cadres, who after the expiry of leave for rest and recreation were posted to the other province.

3. Office remembers the case of the H.V.R. Iyengar, I.C.S., who was working as Secy. H.D., took leave for rest and recreation and at the end of leave was posted in Delhi under the Government of India. In his case the t.a. charge (for rest and recreation leave) was borne by this Govt. and not recovered from the Government of India. The A.G. may also state whether this is correct.

Id/-
20/8/48.

Id/- D.H.D.
20/8/48.

I agree that (i) the amount should not, in any case, be recovered from Mr. Rajwade and (ii) Sind should be pressed to accept the liability).

A.G. may see as proposed.

Id/- B.V.
22/8
Sec"

U.O.R. to A.G. dated the 23/8/48.

A.G.'s Office, Bombay.

Returned to the Finance Deptt.

2. Orders of the Government of Sind sanctioning the grant of travelling allowance in respect of leave taken for rest and recreation are not available in this office. There are also no case of officers belonging to the joint cadre who after the expiry of leave for rest and recreation were posted to other provinces.

3. As regards Mr.H.V.R. Iyengar, I.C.S. it was known to the Govt. of

Bombay, thathe was to be transferred to the Government of India, on the expiry ofleave granted for rest and recreation. It appears that the concession was sanctioned to him as a special case and hence the charges on account of T.A. were borne by the Government of Bombay. Please refer to G.M. F.D., No.5971/33-C dated the 14/9/44, and F.D., U.O.R., dated the 14/9/44, on the subject.

Id. G.Narayanaswami.

D. A. G.

U.O.R. to F.D. Bombay.

No. GI-6/2864
j.10.9 10th Sept: '48.

Finance Department(C)

Submitted with ref. to the Secy's note of 22/8/48.

2. The proceedings underlying the G.M. F.D.No. 5971/33-C dated the 14/9/44, may please be seen. Mr. Rajwade's case seems to bear some distant similarity to the case of Mr. Barkar referred to in the Secy's note dated 8/9/44. But that is no reason why this Government should accept liability for t.a. advance, sanctioned by Sind Government. accordingly to their orders. And as Mr. R. took the advance in good faith and has already spend it there is no reason why he should refund it. vIn view of this, the F.D. may advise as in the Secy's note dated the 22/8/48.

3. The papers may be passed on to the P. & S.D. as desired by the R.D. Id. 12.9. D+O

14 I.R.C. 169.

u.o.r. to the P. & S.D. of 18/9/48.

Poll. & Services Deptt.
"C Br"

These are papers regarding the order to Mr Rajwade from the Government of Sind to refund the sum of Rs.600/- which that Government had advanced to him in accordance with the orders issued by that Government., regarding grant of t.a. to officers taking leave for rest and recreation. The argument of the Sind Government is that since at the end of the leave Mr. Rajwa joined his appointment under the Govt. of Bombay an did not go back to Sind, it is the Bombay Government who should bear the cost on account of the t.a. of Mr. Rajwade in respect of leave taken for rest and recreation. The Bombay-Sind I.C.S. Cadre being joint then, the argument of the Sind Governement is not at all tenable. There are no rules as such covering such advance, which was being given to officers proceeding on leave for rests and recreation as a war time measure. However, as equity would have it, this Deptt. may also agree with Secy. F.D. that the Sind Government should be pressed to accept this liability and that Mr. Rajwade who received the advance in good faith, and fully spent it likewise, should not in any case be made to refund the same.

As regards the last sentence of R.D. o.n. on page 5-6 ante, it appears from the proceedings from page 15 to 21 (Slipped C) in file 6/1-Appts. Part (C) placed below, that althoug Mr. Rajwade's transfer to Bombay from Sind emanated from his own request, the Sind Government agreed to it and readily spared him without even insisting on a substitute which they should have done, the I.C.S. Cadre being joint then.

1947

This Deptt. may therefore agree that the R.D. proposal to inform the Sind Government that it cannot claim the refund of the t.a. advance either from Mr. Rajwade or this Government is in order.

Id/- 27/9/48

Dd/- 27/9/48.

C.S. Id. M.D.B. 29/7

u.o. to R.D. dated the 28/9/48.

RD.

Submitted with reference to the O.N. dated the 13/8/48.

2. In view of the F.D. & the P. & S.D. notes, the appended draft letter of the Govt. of Sind may issue.

Id/- 11/10/48.

Id/- 14/10/48.

H.M.R.D. Id.M.R. 15/10

Id. V.M.S. 14/10/48

10/36/45-E.
Rev. Deptt.
B.C. 23rd October'48.

To

The Chief Secy. to the Govt. of Sind.

Sub:- T.A. Concession, Rajwade Mr. L.G., I.C.S. Admissibility of -

Sir,

In enclosing copies of letter from Mr. L.G. Rajwade I.C.S., District Magistrate, Nasik, No. T.A. Advance (Sakkur), dated the 14/7/48, and its accompts, I am directed to state that Mr. Rajwade was borne on the Bombay-Sind joint Cadre on the date on which he was granted leave by the Government of Sind and also on the date of his transfer to this Government. There was then no agreement be-

ween the Government of Sind and this Govern-
ment regarding liability to bear t.a. in the
case of officers borne on the joint cadre who
were given leave for rest and reereation by 1
Government and were on the espiry of the leave
transferred to the other Province. Besides
even on equitable consideration, it is the
Government which sanctioned leave that should
bear t.a. resulting from the grant of leave.
I am there-fore, to request you to move the
Government of Sind to withdraw their claim for
the refund of the t.a. sanctioned in favour of
Mr. Rajwade.

Yours faithfully,

Secy. to Government.

Accompt:- Copies of letters

Id 11/10/48.

Copies to the F.D.

The P.&S.D.
L.G. Rajwade Engr., (I.C.S.)

Id/-
9/12/48.

255/36060251

E.B.(I)

P. W. D.

Mr. M.L. Champhekar, S. E., B.C., says that when he was in Sind prior to his present apptt. he was granted leave for six months from the 1/5/47 to 31/10/47 (four months for rest and recreation and the rest ordinary) by the then Sind Government and on the expiry of the leave he was transferred to Bombay Provin

2. Before proceeding on leave Mr. Champhekar had drawn an advance of t.a. of Rs.548-8-0, for purposes of rest and recreation as admissible under the orders of Sind Government and had actually performed the journeys for the purpose from Karachi to Bangalore

via Bombay and vice versa during the period of leave. On the expiry of the leave Mr. Champhekar preferred his t.a. claims and forwarded his t.a. journal to the A.G. Pakistan Revenues, Karachi, thro. the A.G. Bombay, for passing the same for payment. The A.G., Pakistan Revenues, Karachi, however, returned the bill unpassed on the gro und that Mr. Champhekar is not entitled to the concession on the analogy of the orders issued in para2 of the G. of I., H.D., Office Memo. No. 193/44-Public (C)dated the 15/3/46, as he (Mr. Champhekar) did not resume his duties on the expiry of the leave under the same Govt. and has asked Mr. Champhekar to refund the amount of advance of t.a. drawn by him. Mr. Champhekar, has accordingly refunded the advance of t.a. drawn by him.

3. Mr. Champhekar says that normally he would have resumed his duties under the Sind Government on the expiry of his leave but due to the political changes in the country, resulting in the partition of India he was transferred to Bombay Province. He observes that through no fault of his he has been made to suffer in respect of t.a. He, therefore, requests that the Government of India, may be moved to allow him to draw the concession refd. to above as a spl. case.

4. In an exactly similar case of Mr. Rajwade, I.C.S.,who has been transferred from the Sind Government to this Government, the Sind Government asked Mr. Rajwade, to refund the advance of t.a. of Rs.600/-

taken by him from that Government . Mr. Rajwade, however, did not refund the amount and pepresented the matter to Government in the R.D. His case has been referred to the Govt. of Sind and that Government, has been requested to withdraw their claim for the refund of the t.a. sanctioned in his favour - vide the G.L., R.D., No.10136/45-E, dated the 23/10/48, and the proceedings underlying it.

5. Every argument that is put forth in the case of Mr. Rajwade for asking the Sind Government to accept the liability of his t.a. is applicable to this case. As Mr. Champhekar, went on leave in good faith and as he was not aware of his transfer to this Government on the expiry of his leave, he can not it seems, be penalised. The charges in question should legitimately be borne by the Sind Government. As however, Mr. Champhekar has already refunded, the amount of t.a. advance drawn by him, it is doubtful whether any useful purpose would be served by representing his case to the Government of Sind and asking them to bear the liability. The F.D., and the R. & S. D. may perhaps be requested to u.o. to advise in the matter.

Id/-
10/12/48-

2
BKR
8/12/4

Id/-
11/12/48.

Urgent.

U.O.R. to the F.D.((in succession)
P. & S.D.
dated the 15/12/48.

P.W.D. 255/36/60251 B.D./C.

In view of the fact that Mr. Champhekar has refunded the advance as also of para 2 of the Government of India office memorandum No.196/43-Public(C) dated the 15/3/48, (p.19ante) it will be difficult to presuade the Sind Government to accept liability for the t.a. concession given to Mr. Champhekar. At any rate, the P.W.D. may be advised to await final decission in the case of Mr. Rajwade.

Id/-
14/12/48

u.o.r. to the P.& S.D. dated 15/12/48.

P.& S.D. may agree with the suggestions made by F.D. at A of BS notes. So far as P.W.D can see, para 2. of the Government of India, office Meme No.196/43-Public(C) dated the 15/3/46 vide the words "who will be discharged and who will revert?" — contmeplates the cases of officers about whom it is known at the time of their going on leave that they will be wither be discharged from service or reverted to another Government on the expiry of having so far as Mr. Champhekar is convinced it was not known at the time of his proceeding on leave that the would be transferred to this Province. Accordingly the orders contained in para 2. of the Government of India, office Memo. dated the 15/3/46 do not seem to prelude Mr. Champhekar getting t.a.

Id/- 17/12/48. Id/- 18/12/48.

u.r. to P.W.D. dated 18/12/48

R.R.(I) P. & S. D.

Submitted with reference to the office note dated the 10/12/48.

2. In view of the portions marked 'A' and 'B', of the F.D. and the P. & S. D. notes, respectively, overleaf, the papers may be referred u.o. to the R.D. with a request to state whether the final decision has been reached on the case of Mr. Rajwade and if so, to communicate the same to this Department.

Id/-
20/12/48.

Id/-
21/12/48.

Urgent.

U.R.R. to the R.D. dated the 27/12/48

Revenue Department.

The case of Mr. Rajwade, I.C.S., has not been decided since the reply to G.L., No.-10136/45-R, dated the 23rd October 1948 from the Government of India is still awaited.

2. The pp. may therefore, be returned to P.W.D. with the above note.

Id/-
5/1/49.

S/d.

u.o.r. to P.W.D. dated the 8/1/49.

259/36/2103 P.W.D.
R.R.(I)

Resubmitted with reference to O.M. overleaf.

2. The papers may again be refd.u.o. to the R.D. with a request to state whether the final decision on Mr. R's case has since been reached and, if so, to communicate the same to the Department.

Id. 17/2/49. Id. 18/2/49.

u.o.r. to the R.D. dated 18/2/49.

52

RD F B

This may be returned to the P.W.D. with the intimation that a final decision of Mr. Rajwade's case has been reached and the Government of Sind have agreed to withdraw the claims for refund of T.A. sanctioned in favour of Mr. Rajwade. A copy of Government of Sind letter, dated 3rd February 1949, is enclosed here with.

(P.D. file will be shown to P.W.D. if necessary).

Id/-
22/2/49.

Id/-
24/2/49.

u.o.r. to P;W.D. dated the 26/2/49.

No. S-15/4-PS/47.
GOVERNMENT OF SIND.
POLITICAL SERVICES AND
GENERAL ADMINISTRATION DEPTT.
(Services Branch).
Sind Secretary, Karachi, 3rd Feb. 1949.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
Bombay,
Revenue Department.

Subject:- Travelling allowance Concession.
Mr. L.G.Rajwade, I.C.S.-
Admissibility of

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No.10136/49, dated the 23rd October, 1948, on the subject noted above and xxx to state that this Government agree to withdraw the claim for refund of travelling allowance sanctioned in favour of Mr. Rajwade, I.C.S.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S/D

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

255/36/14454.

E.B.(I) P. W. D.

Submitted with reference to the office note dated the 17/2/49.

2. The proposal under consideration in these papers is reg. the travelling allowance to Mr. M.L. Champhekar, S.E., D.I.C., in respect of leave granted to him by the Government of Sind for purposees of rest and recreation. Please see the office note dated the 11/12/48, which contains the facts of the case. In the similar case of Mr. L. G. Rajwade, I.C.S., the Government of Sind have agreed to withdraw their claim for the refund of the travelling allowance sanctioned in his favour - vide their letter No. S-15/4/PS/47, dated the 3/2/49. Mr. Champhekar's case may, therefore, now be represented to the Government of Sind. The draft put up may issue, if approved, after submitting the papers to the H.M., P.W.D.

Id/-
16/3/49.

Id/-
17/3/49.

VDD.
16/3/49.

Secy. (R & B).

H.M., P.W.D. M.D.D.G.
23/3/49.

54

No.255/36-E(I)

P. W. D.
B.C., 28th March, '49.

To

The Chief Secy. to the Govt.
Political, Services and General,
Administration Deptt.,
Sind Secretariate,
Karachi.

Subject: Admissiblity of t.a. concession to Mr. M. L. Champhekar, I.S.E., S.E.

Sir,

In enclosing copies of letter from Mr. M.L. Champhekar, I.S.E., S.E., B.C., (now S.E., D.I.C.), No.G.155/6555, dated the 17/7/48, and of its accompaniments, I am directed to state that Mr. Champhekar, was on the Bombay-Sind joint Cadre on the date on which he was granted leave for rest and recreation by the Government of Sind and also on the date of his transfer to this Government. There was no agreement between the Government of Sind and this Government regarding liability to bear t.a. in the case of officers borne on the Bombay-Sind joint Cadre, who were given leave for rest and recreation by one Government, and were, on the expiry of the leave transferred to other Government.

2. Mr. Champhekar went on leave in good faith and had taken the advance of t.a. of Rs.548-8-0 under the scheme sponsored by the Government of Sind under whom he was serving at the time of proceeding on leave and was not aware of his transfer to this Government on the expiry of the leave. It is not, therefore, equitable and just that he should be penalised. In the circumstances and on equitable considerations it seems

that the Governement of Sind which sanctioned the leave in question should bear the t.a. charges refd. to above.

3. This case is exactly similar to that of Mr. L.G.Rajwade, I.C.S., Collector of Nasik, in whose case the Govt. of Sind have agreed to withdraw the claim for the refund of travelling allce. sanctioned in his favour by that Government for leave for purposes of rest and recreation- vide this Government letter, R.D.,No.10136/45, dated the 23rd October, 1948, and your letter No.S.15/4/P.S./47, dated the 3rd February 1949. I am therefore to request you to move the Gogernment of Sind to withdraw their claim for refund of the amount of t.a. referred to above sanctioned in favour of Mr. Champhekar.

D.A.
Copies of the letters referred to & its account.

Yours faithfully.

Copy forwarded to-

The P. & S.D.

The F.D.,

The S.E., B.C.,

M.L.Champhekar, Esqr.,I.B.E., S.E., D.I.C.,

for information.

Id/-
16/3/49.

Id/-
17/3/49.

Secy (R & B).